



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/536,856

11/22/2006

Timothy M. Spitler

07TJ-116264

4641

30764

7590

10/05/2010

SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
12275 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

EXAMINER

HOLT, ANDRIAE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/05/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/536,856	Applicant(s) SPITLER, TIMOTHY M.	
	Examiner Andriae M. Holt	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 41

 (1) Andriae M. Holt.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jeffrey McKinney, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2010
Time: 2:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Mr. McKinney to determine the status of the Application. The examiner was informed that Mr. McKinney no longer worked for the firm. The examiner left a message for someone to return call to give information on the status. 9/28/10-No response. The examiner left another message. 9/29/2010-The examiner left a message with Lora for someone from the firm to return call to provide information on the status of the application. 9/30/2010- No response received from the firm. There has been on reply to the Office Action mailed March 17, 2010. The application is abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)